Approved For Release 2008/03/05 : CIA-RDP85B01152R000700860007-2


Mr. Fitzwater:

Do you want me to send a copy of the D/OL memo and your memo to the OTE fyi?

Karen 1 Nov 83

			22000	83-419/01		
	ROUTING	G AND	RECOR	D SHEET		
SUBJECT: (Optional)						
FROM: Daniel C. King			EXTENSION	NO. OL-20734-83		
Director of Logis	stics			DATE 2 8 OCT 1983		
TO: (Office delication and a section and				2 2 0 0 0 1 1583		
TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)	DATE		OFFICER'S	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from who to whom. Draw a line across column after each commen		
ر. /DDA	RECEIVED	FORWARDED				
ිට / 7D24	31,000	310A	12			
Headquarters 2.		210a				
		31 007	X	DOM REGISTRY FILE: 45-14		
3.	1 110			Entro Maria		
	1 1 180		1	11 11 15 5 . 40 74 · · ·		
DDA			U			
4.						
E						
5.						
6.						
7.						
8.						
9.						
10.						
11.						
				ļ		
12.						
			1	1		
13.						
				ļ		
14.	i					
15.						

FORM 610 USE PREVIOUS EDITIONS



Registry 83 - 4696/

28 OCT 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR: De	2DUTY	Director	IOT	Administration
--------------------	-------	----------	-----	----------------

FROM:

Daniel C. King

Director of Logistics

SUBJECT:

Pros and Cons of OTE Being Located in the

New Building

REFERENCE:

Memo for DD/A fm D/OTE dtd 19 Oct 83,

same subject (OTE 83-1033)

Harry,

- 1. I have reviewed paper, and while it covers the major points, I still have some concerns. The real issue is when should a decision with respect to OTE location be made and with foreknowledge of what facts.
- 2. There is a question of new building capacity that must be answered by next summer to permit layout to begin. If there is a shortfall, the magnitude of the shortfall may have a bearing on who is left out.
- 3. There is the question of transportation and parking. If we find these constraints too uncomfortable, we may be looking for building occupants who require an above average per capita space allocation. In that case, OTE with its large classroom space may become a priority requirement for the new building.
- 4. Finally, there is the question of other component problems and attitudes. OD&E may resist a move to Langley if they feel in control of their destiny FBIS with its foreign and uncleared visitor problem may opt or be asked by OS to opt out of the building. ORD comments have been made relative to their perceived need to be downtown close to the industrial contacts.
- 5. Based on considerations such as these, I strongly recommend against making a firm decision on OTE location now. If, when the NBPO comes up with a recommended occupancy plan next summer, OTE on balance is the best component to leave out, then so be it. But let's not make a decision today that may have to be reversed when all the facts are on the table.

Daniel C. King

25X1

25X1

25X1

OL-20734-83